## Preliminary Findings of a Survey of Israeli Jewish Attitudes on a Future Peace Agreement with the Palestinians

The poll was conducted by leading Israeli pollster Mina Tzemach for the Jerusalem Center for Public Affairs.

The purpose of this survey was to examine the attitudes of the Jewish public in Israel on several issues regarding a peace agreement or other arrangements with the Palestinians.

The surveyed population was defined as the general Jewish adult population in Israel (18+). The poll was based on a representative sample of 521 people from an Internet panel of the iPanel company, and the interviews were conducted via the Internet on March 20-21, 2017. The maximum sampling error was 4.4%.

### **Main Findings**

<u>Trend</u>: Decrease in support for a withdrawal from the West Bank and the establishment of a Palestinian state

There has been a gradual decrease of (Jewish) Israeli willingness to agree to a withdrawal from the West Bank as part of a peace agreement – from 60% in 2005 to 36% in 2017. There has also been a decline in support for the Clinton Parameters from 59% in 2005 to 29% in 2017.

Support for the Clinton Parameters:

December 2003	42%
January 2005	59%
June 2006 (after Israeli disengagement from Gaza)	50%
December 2006	47%
March 2017	29%

### The Clinton Parameters:

A demilitarized Palestinian state without settlement blocs, full Palestinian security control of the West Bank, Jerusalem divided and the capital of both states, the Temple Mount in the hands of the Palestinians, while Israel receives the Western Wall.

### Jerusalem:

- 79% say it is important to retain a unified Jerusalem under Israeli sovereignty, while 15% say it is not important.
- 41% agree and 52% oppose the division of Jerusalem into Jewish and Arab sectors (with no mention that the capital of the Palestinian state will be in east Jerusalem). If Jerusalem is to become the Palestinian capital, agreement decreases to 33% and opposition increases to 59%.

• Transferring the Temple Mount to the Palestinians: 10% agree and 83% oppose.

# Withdrawal from the West Bank as part of a peace agreement and the establishment of a Palestinian state:

- Withdrawal from all the territories 15% agree, while 77% oppose.
- Withdrawal except for the large settlement blocs 37% agree, while 57% oppose.
- When the possibility is presented of territorial swaps in return for annexation 29% agree, while 64% oppose.
- When annexation of the settlement blocs to Israel is included and it is stated that the Palestinian state will be demilitarized, agreement increases to 48% and opposition decreases to 44%. This shows that the main issue of concern is security.
- One of the reasons for the opposition to an Israeli withdrawal involves control of strategic points: 88% say that Israel cannot withdraw from territories that border on Ben-Gurion Airport. 81% say that Israel cannot withdraw from territories bordering the Tel Aviv-Jerusalem Highway (Route 443).

## Security control in the West Bank:

- 76% want Israel to have full security control of the West Bank.
- The importance of security control of the West Bank also emerges from the fact that support for an agreement based on the Clinton Parameters decreases from 29% to 18% if the package does not include full Israeli security control of the West Bank.

## American involvement:

- Agreement with the Clinton Parameters increases to 51% if Israel is also given security guarantees including a defense pact with the U.S.
- 74% say it is important that the U.S. be involved in any agreement between Israel and the Palestinians.

## Unilateral withdrawal (without an agreement):

• 26% agree and 61% oppose.

## Attack Tunnels:

- Even in the case of full Israeli security control of the West Bank, 57% say such control would not prevent the Palestinians from digging attack tunnels, compared to 33% who say security control would prevent the digging of tunnels.
- If there is not Israeli security control, 85% say there is a concern that the Palestinians will dig attack tunnels, compared to 7% who say there is no such danger.

#### The Jordan Valley:

- 81% say it is important that Israel retain sovereignty over the Jordan Valley, compared to 8% who say it is not important.
- 55% say the IDF cannot ensure security without sovereignty over the Jordan Valley, compared to 31% who say it is possible to ensure security even without sovereignty there.
- 22% say Israel could rely on an international force in the Jordan Valley, while 69% say Israel could not rely on an international force.

### Recognition of Israel as the nation-state of the Jewish people:

• 71% say an agreement should be conditioned on Palestinian recognition of Israel as the nation-state of the Jewish people, while 20% disagree.

#### Regional instability:

• Given the current instability in the Middle East, is it possible to make extensive concessions, or must Israel exercise great caution regarding territorial concessions? 14% say Israel can make concessions, while 80% say Israel cannot make concessions.

#### The Golan Heights:

• 58% say Israel should condition a settlement with the Palestinians on U.S. recognition of the application of Israeli law on the Golan Heights, while 25% disagree.

### Poll Results

#### Notes:

1. Some of the questions address the same issues, with certain differences. Thus, by comparing the findings of corresponding questions, conclusions can be drawn about the degree of influence of several factors. This comparison reveals that:

- The possibility of Israel giving the Palestinians territories that currently are under Israeli sovereignty in exchange for territories that Israel will annex in Judea and Samaria reduces the percentage of agreement from 37% on question 3 to 29% on question 8.

- The possibility of Jerusalem being the capital of both states reduces agreement from 41% on question 5 to 33% on question 11.

- The transfer of the Temple Mount to Palestinian sovereignty reduces agreement from 23% on question 12 to 10% on question 6.

- Israeli security control of the West Bank has very high significance; 76% agree to it compared to 45% who are prepared to rely on an international force.

2. What determines agreement or disagreement with the package of proposals is the framework within which a Palestinian state is established.

3. The demilitarization of a Palestinian state and Israeli control of the West Bank do not increase the basic preparedness for the establishment of a Palestinian state.

## a. Is it possible to reach a settlement with the Palestinians?

1. Do you believe or not believe		Frequency	%
that negotiations between Israel	Certainly believe	13	2.4%
and the Palestinian Authority will	Tend to believe	129	24.8%
lead in the coming years to an	Tend not to believe	181	34.7%
Israeli-Palestinian agreement?	Don't believe at all	180	34.5%
	Don't know	18	3.5%
	Total	521	100%

## b. Attitudes toward proposals for an Israeli-Palestinian agreement:

Should or should not Israel agree to each of the following elements as part of a peace agreement with the Palestinians:

2. Establishment of a		Frequency	%
Palestinian state in all of	I'm sure it should agree	11	2.0%
the West Bank.	I think it should agree	77	14.7%
	I think it should not agree	169	32.5%
	I'm sure it should not agree	233	44.7%
	Don't know	31	6.0%
	Total	521	100%

3, Establishment of a		Frequency	%
Palestinian state in all of	I'm sure it should agree	31	5.9%
the West Bank except for	I think it should agree	164	31.5%
the large settlement	I think it should not agree	118	22.6%
blocs.	I'm sure it should not agree	180	34.6%
	Don't know	28	5.4%
	Total	521	100%

4. An international force		Frequency	%
to guarantee the security	I'm sure it should agree	63	12.0%
of both sides.	I think it should agree	174	33.3%
	I think it should not agree	112	21.4%
	I'm sure it should not agree	129	24.8%
	Don't know	44	8.4%
	Total	521	100%

5. The Arab		Frequency	%
neighborhoods in east	I'm sure it should agree	58	11.2%
Jerusalem will be under	I think it should agree	155	29.7%
Palestinian sovereignty	I think it should not agree	110	21.1%
and the Jewish	I'm sure it should not agree	158	30.4%
neighborhoods under	Don't know	40	7.7%
Israeli sovereignty.	Total	521	100%

6. The Temple Mount will		Frequency	%
be transferred to	I'm sure it should agree	12	2.4%
Palestinian sovereignty.	I think it should agree	38	7.3%
	I think it should not agree	116	22.2%
	I'm sure it should not agree	316	60.7%
	Don't know	39	7.4%
	Total	521	100%

7. How important or		Frequency	%
unimportant is it that in	Very important	305	58.6%
any settlement with the	Moderately important	106	20.4%
Palestinians, Israel will	Moderately unimportant	55	10.5%
retain a united Jerusalem	Not important at all	25	4.7%
under Israeli sovereignty?	Don't know	30	5.7%
	Total	521	100%

Below are five proposals that could form a package as part of the negotiations on an Israeli-Palestinian settlement. For each of them, mark whether you **agree** with it or **oppose** it:

8. A demilitarized Palestinian state		Frequency	%
will be established in the West	Certainly agree	23	4.5%
Bank except for the large			
settlement blocs, which will be	Tend to agree	128	24.6%
annexed to Israel. In return for	Tend to oppose	131	25.1%
those territories, Israel will give			
the Palestinians territories in	Certainly oppose	200	38.4%
sovereign Israel of a similar size to	Don't know	38	7.4%
the territories that Israel annexes.	Total	521	100.0%

# Comparative data on support for land swaps:

December 2003	45%
January 2005	53%
December 2005	51%
June 2006	45%
December 2006	42%
March 2017	29%

9. The Palestinian state will be		Frequency	%
demilitarized, meaning it will not	Certainly agree	69	13.2%
have an army but it will have a	Tend to agree	180	34.5%
strong security force, and an	Tend to oppose	100	19.2%
international force will be	Certainly oppose	131	25.1%
established to guarantee the	Don't know	41	8.0%
security of both sides.	Total	521	100.0%

10. Israel will have full security		Frequency	%
control of the West Bank.	Certainly agree	239	45.8%
	Tend to agree	159	30.6%
	Tend to oppose	62	11.8%
	Certainly oppose	21	4.0%
	Don't know	40	7.7%
	Total	521	100.0%

11. Jerusalem will be the capital of		Frequency	%
both states. East Jerusalem will be	Certainly agree	54	10.3%
the capital of the Palestinian state	Tend to agree	118	22.6%
and West Jerusalem will be the	Tend to oppose	94	18.1%
capital of Israel. The Arab	Certainly oppose	213	40.8@
neighborhoods in Jerusalem will	Don't know	43	8.2%
be under Palestinian sovereignty	Total	521	100.0%
and the Jewish neighborhoods			
under Israeli sovereignty.			

12. The Jewish Quarter of		Frequency	%
Jerusalem and the Western Wall	Certainly agree	31	5.9%
will be under Israeli sovereignty,	Tend to agree	90	17.3%
and the Temple Mount will be	Tend to oppose	121	23.3%
under Palestinian sovereignty.	Certainly oppose	236	45.3%
	Don't know	43	8.2%
	Total	521	100.0%

13. If all five of the above		Frequency	%
proposals are presented to Israel	Certainly agree	33	6.4%
as a single package, would you	Tend to agree	119	22.8%
agree to or oppose Israel	Tend to oppose	144	27.7%
accepting this package?	Certainly oppose	163	31.4%
	Don't know	61	11.8%
	Total	100.0%	100.09

14. If Israel is not given		Frequency	%
full security control of	Israel should agree to the	96	18.4%
the West Bank, should	package of proposals without		
Israel then agree to the	full security control of the		
package, or should	Palestinian state.		
Israel condition the	Israel should condition	297	57%
establishment of a	establishment of a Palestinian		
Palestinian state on	state on full Israeli security		
having full security	control of the Palestinian state.		
control of the	Don't know	128	24.5%
Palestinian state?	Total	521	100.0%

15. If the control of		Frequency	%
the holy places that	I'm sure one could be confident that	30	5.7%
are removed from	Jews would be able to visit the holy		
Israeli sovereignty	places.		
were placed in the	I think one could be confident that	105	20.2%
hands of an	Jews would be able to visit the holy		
international force,	places.		
could one be	I think one could not be confident	187	35.9%
confident that Jews	that Jews would be able to visit the		
would be able to	holy places.		
visit and pray at the	I'm sure one could not be confident	155	29.7%
holy places that are	that Jews would be able to visit the		
not under Israeli	holy places.		
sovereignty?	Don't know	44	8.5%
	Total	521	100.0%

16. Should Israel		Frequency	%
condition the	I'm sure it should condition it on	272	52.2%
establishment of a	such recognition.		
Palestinian state on	I think it should condition it on such	100	19.1%
the Palestinians	recognition.		
recognizing Israel as	I think it should not condition it on	69	13.2%
a Jewish state?	such recognition.		
	I'm sure it should not condition it on	35	6.8%
	such recognition.		
	Don't know	45	8.6%
	Total	521	100.0%

17. Would full Israeli		Frequency	%
security control of a	I'm sure it would prevent it.	33	6.4%
Palestinian state	I think it would prevent it.	138	26.4%
prevent or not prevent	I think it would not prevent it.	180	34.5%
the digging of tunnels	I'm sure it would not prevent it.	119	22.9%
from the Palestinian	Don't know	51	9.7%
state into Israel?	Total	521	100.0%

18. If Israel does not		Frequency	%
have full security	I'm sure there is a concern.	297	57.0%
control of a Palestinian	I think there is a concern.	145	27.9%
state, then is there a	I think there is not a concern.	26	5.1%
concern that the	I'm sure there is not a concern.	8	1.6%
Palestinians will dig	Don't know	44	8.4%
tunnels into Israel?	Total	521	100.0%

# c. The Jordan Valley:

19. To what extent is it		Frequency	%
important that, in any	Very important	284	54.5%
settlement with the	Moderately important	140	26.9%
Palestinians, Israel	Not so important	31	5.9%
should retain	Not important at all	12	2.3%
sovereignty in the	Don't know	54	10.4%
Jordan Valley?	Total	521	100.0%

20. If Israel withdraws		Frequency	%
from the Jordan Valley	I'm sure one could rely on an	14	2.7%
as part of a peace	international or American force.		
agreement with the	I think one could rely on an	99	19.0%
Palestinians, could one	international or American force.		
rely on an	I think one could not rely on an	193	34.6%
international force or	international or American force.		
an American force to	I'm sure one could not rely on an	166	20.6%
ensure Israel's	international or American force.		
security, or does	Don't know	48	14.0%
security have to be left	Total	521	100.0%
in the IDF's hands?			

21. Is it possible or		Frequency	%
impossible for the IDF	I'm sure it is possible	37	7.0%
to ensure Israel's	I think it is possible	124	23.8%
security on the eastern	I think it is impossible	180	34.6%
front without retaining	I'm sure it is impossible	107	20.6%
sovereignty over the	Don't know	73	14.0%
Jordan Valley?	Total	521	100.0%

# d. Overall attitudes toward territorial concessions:

22. Amid the chaos		Frequency	%
now prevailing in	I'm sure that amid the current	18	3.4%
the Middle East,	situation in the Middle East, Israel		
can Israel allow	can make extensive territorial		
itself to make	concessions.		
extensive	I think that amid the current situation	53	10.1%
territorial	in the Middle East, Israel can make		
concessions as part	extensive territorial concessions.		
of a peace	I think that amid the current situation	163	31.3%
agreement with	in the Middle East, Israel must		
the Palestinians, or	exercise great caution regarding		
should Israel	territorial concessions.		
exercise great	I'm sure that amid the current	253	48.5%
caution regarding	situation in the Middle East, Israel		
territorial	must exercise great caution		
concessions?	regarding territorial concessions.		
	Don't know	35	6.7%
	Total	521	100.0%

23. A number of reasons		Frequency	%
are cited for why Israel	All the reasons to the same	326	62.6%
should be cautious about	extent.		
territorial concessions as	The emergence of new terror	78	15.0%
part of a settlement with	organizations such as the		
the Palestinians. Among	Islamic State.		
the following reasons,	The chaos in the Middle East.	51	9.9%
which is the main one that	The expansion of Iranian	29	5.5%
should lead Israel to	influence in places like Syria		
exercise caution about	and Iraq.		
territorial concessions (the	There is no reason that Israel	23	4.4%
reasons will be presented	needs to be cautious.		
to the interviewees in	Another reason. Specify	13	2.5%
different orders):	Total	521	100.0%

24. The Palestinian Authority continues		Frequency	%
to violate the Oslo agreements,	Certainly agree	24	4.6%
including inciting against Israel and	Tend to agree	106	20.4%
paying salaries to terrorists. Some claim	Tend to oppose	128	24.6%
that Israel should react with restraint to	Certainly oppose	202	38.8%
these violations because pressure on	Don't know	61	11.7%
the Palestinian Authority could lead to	Total	521	100.0%
its downfall. Do you agree with or			
oppose that view?			

# e. Unilateral withdrawal, a proposal of the Zionist Union

25. It has been proposed that Israel		Frequency	%
withdraw unilaterally (without an	Certainly agree	20	3.7%
agreement) from 85% of the West Bank	Tend to agree	115	22.1%
and from the Arab neighborhoods in	Tend to oppose	134	25.7%
Jerusalem, and annex the large	Certainly oppose	183	35.1%
settlement blocs. The IDF would	Don't know	70	13.4%
maintain a presence along the Jordan	Total	521	100.0%
River. Do you agree with or oppose this			
proposal?			

# f. Confederation/federation

26. It has been proposed that a		Frequency	%
Palestinian state be linked to Jordan in	Certainly support	65	12.5%
the form of confederation. Each of the	Tend to support	187	36%
states – Jordan and the Palestinian state	Tend to oppose	101	19.5%
<ul> <li>would run some of its affairs</li> </ul>	Certainly oppose	70	13.5%
separately, but in important domains	Don't know	97	18.6%
such as foreign policy and security the two states would be governed by a joint body. Do you support this proposal or oppose it?	Total	521	100.0%

27. (For those who said		Frequency	%
they supported a	I'm sure it should condition it.	15	5.8%
Palestinian-Jordanian	I think it should condition it.	122	48.1%
confederation) Should or	I think it should not condition	77	30.7%
should not Israel condition	it.		
the establishment of a	I'm sure it should not	10	3.8%
Palestinian state on its	condition it.		
being part of a	Don't know	29	11.6%
confederation with Jordan?	Total	253	100.0%

## <u>g. Gaza</u>

28. Should or should		Frequency	%
not Israel condition	I'm sure it should condition it.	73	14.0%
an agreement with	I think it should condition it.	183	35.0%
the Palestinians on	I think it should not condition it.	112	21.6%
the transfer of Gaza	I'm sure it should not condition it.	50	9.6%
to Egyptian control?	Don't know	103	19.8%
	Total	521	100.0%

# h. The Golan Heights

29. At a certain point the Israeli		Frequency	%
government decided to apply	I'm sure it should	141	27.0%
Israeli law to the Golan Heights;	condition it.		
the world, however, did not	I think it should	162	31.2%
recognize the measure. Should	condition it.		
or should not Israel condition a	I think it should not	90	17.2%
settlement with the Palestinians	condition it.		
on the U.S. recognizing the	I'm sure it should not	42	8%
application of Israeli law to the	condition it.		
Golan Heights?	Don't know	87	16.6%
	Total	521	100.0%

# i. A regional alliance

30. It has been proposed that Israel withdraw		Frequency	%
from all of the West Bank except for the	Certainly agree	64	12.3%
settlement blocs, which would be annexed to	Tend to agree	181	34.7%
Israel, and in exchange Israel would transfer the	Tend to oppose	119	22.8%
Triangle region of Israel with its Arab residents to	Certainly oppose	80	15.5%
the Palestinians. The refugee problem would be	Don't know	77	14.8%
solved in negotiations between the sides. In	Total	521	100.0%
return, all of the Arab states would recognize			
Israel as a Jewish state along with its right to			
secure borders, sign peace agreements with it,			
and conduct normal diplomatic relations with it.			
Do you agree with or oppose this proposal?			

## j. U.S. involvement

31. Is it important or unimportant that the		Frequency	%
U.S. be involved in any	Very important	172	32.9%
settlement between	Moderately important	212	40.6%
Israel and the	Moderately unimportant	54	10.4%
Palestinians?	Not important at all	44	8.4%
	Don't know	40	7.7%
	Total	521	100.0%

32. Would you agree or not		Frequency	%
agree to the establishment	I'm sure I would agree.	61	11.7%
of a Palestinian state if	I think I would agree.	204	39.2%
Israel were to receive	I think I would not agree.	114	21.9%
security guarantees from	I'm sure I would not agree.	88	16.9%
the U.S., including a	Don't know	54	10.4%
defense pact between	Total	521	100.0%
Israel and the U.S.?			

33. Should or		Frequency	%
should not the	I'm sure it should be a central	120	23.0%
special relationship	component.		
with the U.S. be a	I think it should be a central	195	37.4%
central component	component.		
of Israel's security	I think it should not be a central	115	22.1%
concept?	component.		
	I'm sure it should not be a central	44	8.4%
	component.		
	Don't know	47	9.1%
	Total	521	100.0%

34. Would you be prepared		Frequency	%
or not be prepared for an	I'm sure I would be prepared.	26	5.1%
Israeli withdrawal in the	I think I would be prepared.	138	26.5%
West Bank as part of a	I think I would not be	153	29.5%
settlement with the	prepared.		
Palestinians if President	I'm sure I would not be	132	25.4%
Trump himself were to	prepared.		
guarantee the settlement?	Don't know	71	13.6%
	Total	521	100.0%

35. When could		Frequency	%
one rely more on a	I'm sure one could rely more on	29	5.6%
settlement with	President Obama's involvement.		
the Palestinians – if	I think one could rely more on	56	10.7%
President Obama	President Obama's involvement.		
had been involved,	I think one could rely more on	198	38.0%
or if President	President Trump's involvement.		
Trump were to be	I'm sure one could rely more on	85	16.3%
involved?	President Trump's involvement.		
	Don't know	153	29.4%
	Total	521	100.0%

## k. Israel and the world

36. Do you agree or		Frequency	%
disagree with the claim	Certainly agree	266	51.1%
that whatever Israel does	Moderately agree	173	33.2%
and however far it goes to	Moderately disagree	36	7.0%
attain a settlement with	Don't agree at all	17	3.3%
the Palestinians, the world	Don't know	28	5.4%
will keep being critical of	Total	521	100.0%
Israel?			

37. Should or		Frequency	%
should not Israel	I'm sure it should take it into account.	17	3.4%
take the world's	I think it should take it into account.	140	26.9%
reaction into	I think it should not take it into	193	37.1%
account when it	account.		
makes decisions on	I'm sure it should not take it into	136	26.2%
political issues?	account.		
	Don't know	33	6.4%
	Total	521	100.0%

# I. Questions about issues we have not addressed but that appeared in previous surveys:

38. As part of a permanent peace		Frequency	%
agreement, can or cannot Israel	I'm sure it can.	6	1.2%
give the Palestinians territories	I think it can.	21	4.0%
that overlook the area of Ben-	I think it cannot.	95	18.2%
Gurion Airport?	I'm sure it cannot.	362	69.5%
	Don't know	37	7.1%
	Total	521	100.0%

39. As part of a permanent peace		Frequency	%
agreement, can or cannot Israel	I'm sure it can.	7	1.4%
give the Palestinians territories	I think it can.	44	8.5%
that overlook Route 443, the road	I think it cannot.	130	25.0%
from Tel Aviv to Jerusalem via	I'm sure it cannot.	289	55.6%
Modi'in)?	Don't know	49	9.5%
	Total	521	100.0%

40. Do you believe or not		Frequency	%
believe that an Israeli	Certainly believe	4	.9%
withdrawal to the 1967 lines	Tend to believe	59	11.4%
would bring an end to the	Tend not to believe	117	22.5%
conflict with the	Don't believe at all	297	56.9%
Palestinians?	Don't know	43	8.3%
	Total	521	100.0%

41. Are you in favor of or		Frequency	%
against the security fence	Certainly in favor	66	12.8%
becoming Israel's	Moderately in favor	175	33.5%
permanent border with the	Moderately against	86	16.5%
Palestinian Authority?	Certainly against	81	15.6%
	Don't know	112	21.6%
	Total	521	100.0%

42. Is giving territories		Frequency	%
to the Palestinians that	I'm sure it is likely to expose Israeli	223	42.7%
are close to Israeli	towns to Palestinian fire.		
towns within the	I think it is likely to expose Israeli	171	32.7%
Green Line likely to	towns to Palestinian fire.		
expose those towns to	I think that in a peace agreement	60	11.5%
Palestinian fire, or, if	the Palestinians will not fire into		
there is a peace	Israeli territory.		
agreement, can one be	I'm sure that in a peace agreement	10	2.0%
sure that the	the Palestinians will not fire into		
Palestinians will not	Israeli territory.		
fire at Israeli territory?	Don't know	57	11.0%
	Total	521	100.0%

# Voting in the 2015 elections: age, gender, religiosity, extraction, immigrants and veterans, ideology.

43. How would you		Frequency	%
define yourself	Very right-wing	51	9.8%
ideologically-	Right-wing	146	28.1%
politically?	Center, leaning to the right	128	24.7%
	Center	88	16.8%
	Center, leaning to the left	63	12.1%
	Left-wing	22	4.2%
	Very left-wing	3	.6%
	Decline to answer	20	3.7%
	Total	521	100%

44. Which party did		Frequency	%
you vote for in the	Likud	117	22.5%
most recent	Zionist Union	89	17.0%
elections in 2015?	Yesh Atid	44	8.5%
	Kulanu	40	7.7%
	Torah Judaism	36	7.0%
	Decline to answer	36	6.9%
	Habayit Hayehudi	35	6.7%
	Shas	26	5.0%
	Yisrael Beiteinu	26	4.9%
	Meretz	18	3.5%
	I did not vote for other	17	3.3%
	reasons.		
	Yahad	15	2.9%
	I did not vote because I	12	2.3%
	did not have the right		
	to vote.		
	Another party	7	1.3%
	Joint List	2	.4%
	Total	521	100%

# Demographic characteristics

45. Gender:		Frequency	%
	Male	254	48.8%
	Female	267	51.2%
	Total	521	100.0%

46. Age:		Frequency	%
	18-24	72	13.8%
	25-34	111	21.3%
	34-44	100	19.2%
	45-54	74	14.2%
	55+	164	31.6%
	Total	521	100%

47. How do you		Frequency	%
define yourself from a religious standpoint?	Secular	220	42.2%
	Traditional	190	36.5%
	Religious	52	10.1%
	Haredi	59	11.3%
	Total	521	100%

48. Where were		Frequency	%
you born?	Israel	430	82.5%
	Former Soviet	87	16.7%
	Union		
	Other	2	.4%
	Decline to answer	2	.4%
	Total	521	100.0%

49. Sector		Frequency	%
	Veterans	432	82.9%
	Immigrants	89	17.1%
	Total	521	100%